

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary****Application No.**

10/697,464

**Applicant(s)**

MITCHELL ET AL

**Examiner**

Harry S. Hong

**Art Unit**

2614

**All Participants:**(1) Harry S. Hong.(2) William M. Lee, Jr. (Reg. No. 26,935).**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 September 2009**Time:** 2:00 PM**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:***Potential 35 U.S.C. 101.***Claims discussed:***1 and 3-12***Prior art documents discussed:***N/A***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Only in order to expedite prosecution, the applicants agreed to cancel claims 1 and 3-12. Also, claim 13 was amended only to clarify the recitation (grammar). Refer to the attached Examiner's Amendment.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)